Lecture Outline

- Stålmarck’s Method
- Advanced Techniques in DPLL
  - Restarts
  - Phase Saving
Stålmarck’s Method [1]

- **Input**: Arbitrary formula $F$ in propositional logic (need not be in CNF, $\Rightarrow$ and $\Leftrightarrow$ also allowed)
- **Goal**: Show unsatisfiability of $F$
- **Preprocessing**: Decompose formula tree into simple equations (triplets) $T$ and a literal equivalence class $R$. 
  \( R \subseteq L^0 \times L^0 \) where \( L^0 = L \cup \{0, 1\} \), $R$ ‘consistent’
- **Basic processing steps**: $k$-saturation ($k = 0, 1, \ldots$)
  - 0-saturation: simplification with triplet rules
  - $k$-saturation ($k \geq 1$): case distinction, breadth-first search
- Developed by Gunnar Stålmarck (∼1989), patented
Decomposition into Triplets

\[ F = ((x \land y) \lor \neg y) \land (z \Leftrightarrow y) \]

Formula tree:

\[ \text{Triplets: } n_1 = n_2 \land n_4 \\
    n_2 = n_3 \lor \neg y \\
    n_3 = x \land y \\
    n_4 = z \Leftrightarrow y \]

Normalized triplets:
(only \( \land \) and \( \Leftrightarrow \))

\[ n_1 = n_2 \land n_4 \\
    \neg n_2 = \neg n_3 \land y \\
    n_3 = x \land y \\
    n_4 = z \Leftrightarrow y \]

Initial equival. class: \{n_1 = 1\} 
(to show unsatisfiability of \( F \) by contradiction)
Stålmarck’s Method: 0-Saturation

Given set of triplets $T$ and literal equivalence class $R$ apply derivation rules (deriving new literal equivalences):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{p = q \land r}{p = 1} & \quad r = 1 \\
& \quad q = 1 \\
\frac{p = q \land r}{q = 0} & \quad p = 0 \\
\frac{p = q \land r}{p = 0} & \quad r = 0 \\
\frac{p = q \land r}{q = 1} & \quad p = r \\
\frac{p = q \land r}{p = 0} & \quad q = \neg r
\end{align*}
\]
Stålmarck’s Method: $k$-Saturation

Given formula $F$, represented as $(T, R)$ (triplets and equiv. rel.)
procedure saturate extends equivalence relation $R$:

```c
EquivRel saturate(int k, TripletSet T, EquivRel R)
{
    if ( k = 0 ) return zero-saturate(T, R)
    forall x ∈ Var(T) not fixed in R do {
        R0 = saturate(k − 1, T, R ∪ {x = 0})
        R1 = saturate(k − 1, T, R ∪ {x = 1})
        R = R0 ∩ R1
    }
    return R
}
```

(zero-saturate returns all-relation if inconsistency was found)
$k$-Saturation: Graphical Illustration
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Summary: Stålmarck’s Algorithm

**Input:** Formula $F$ represented as set of triplets $T$
(with $n_1$ representing top of formula tree)

**Output:** $F$ satisfiable?

```java
boolean stalmarckSAT(TripletSet T) {
    k = 0; R = \{n_1 = 1\}
    do {
        R = saturate(k, T, R)
        if (R = all-relation) return false
        else if (R satisfies all triplets T) return true
        else k = k + 1
    } 
}
```
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Restarts

- What is a restart?
  - Clear the partial assignment
  - Unassign all the variables
  - Backtrack to level 0

- Why would anybody want to do restarts in DPLL?
  - To recover from bad branching decisions
  - You solve more instances
  - Might decrease performance on easy instances
Restarts: Why?

Heavy-tail distribution

\[ P[X > x] \sim C \cdot x^{-\alpha} \]

(for \( 0 < \alpha < 2, \ C > 0 \))

Standard distribution

\[ P[X > x] \sim \frac{1}{x\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-x^2/2} \]

(Figures from Gomes et al., 2000)
When to Restart?

- After a given number of decisions
- The number of decision between restarts should grow
  - To guarantee completeness
- How much increase?
  - Linear increase – too slow
  - Exponential increase – ok with small exponent
  - MiniSat: $k$-th restart happens after $100 \times 1.1^k$
Inner/Outer Restart Scheduling
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Inner/Outer Restart Scheduling

Inner/Outer Restart Algorithm

```python
int inner = 100
int outer = 100

forever do

    do DPLL for inner conflicts . . .
    restarts++

    if inner >= outer then
        outer *= 1.1
        inner = 100
    else
        inner *= 1.1
```
Luby Sequence Restart Scheduling
Luby Sequence

\[
Luby = u \cdot (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}
\]

\[
t_i = \begin{cases} 
2^{k-1} & \text{if } i = 2^k - 1 \\
t_{i-2^k+1}+1 & \text{if } 2^{k-1} \leq i \leq 2^k - 1 
\end{cases}
\]

1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 4, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 4, 8, …
Luby Sequence Restart Scheduling

Luby Sequence Algorithm

```c
unsigned luby (unsigned i)
    for (unsigned k = 1; k < 32; k++)
        if (i == (1 << k) - 1) then return 1 << (k - 1)
    for (k = 1;; k++)
        if ((1 << (k - 1)) <= i && i < (1 << k) - 1) then
            return luby(i - (1 << (k-1)) + 1);

limit = 512 * luby (++restarts);
... // run SAT core loop for limit conflicts
```

- Complicated, not trivial to compute
Reluctant Doubling

- A more efficient implementation of the Luby sequence
- Use the $v_n$ of the following pair

\[
(u_1, v_1) = (1, 1) \quad (1)
\]

\[
(u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}) = u_n \& - u_n = v_n? (u_n + 1, 1) : (u_n, 2v_n) \quad (2)
\]

- Example: (1,1), (2,1), (2,2), (3,1), (4,1), (4,2), (4,4), (5,1), ...
- Invented by Donald Knuth
Phase Saving

First implemented in RSAT (2006)
http://reasoning.cs.ucla.edu/rsat/

- Observation: Frequent *Restarts* decrease performance on some SAT instances
- Goal: Cache partial solutions to subsets of the formula and reuse them after a restart
- Idea: Remember last assignment of each variable and use it *first* in branching
- Result: *Phase Saving* stabilizes positive effect of restarts; best results in combination with non-chronological backtracking (later in this lecture)

Example: $A$ and $B$ are satisfied, search works on component $C$
URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1008725524946